se at

14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSEPH M. CRAVEIRO, JR.,

Petitioner

:

v.

CIVIL NO. 1:CV-00-1714

USA, et al.,

(Judge Caldwell)

Respondents

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

ORDER

FEB 2 7 2001

THE BACKGROUND OF THIS ORDER IS AS FOLLOWS:

MARY E D'ANDREA, CLERK

On September 26, 2000, Joseph M. Craveiro, Jr. an inmate at the Allenwood Low Security Correctional Institution, White Deer, Pennsylvania, filed this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Before the court is the petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel.

Although prisoners have no "automatic" constitutional or statutory rights to appointment of counsel in a federal habeas corpus proceeding, a court does have broad discretionary power

to appoint counsel under 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2).¹ Reese v.
Fulcomer, 946 F.2d 247, 263 (3d Cir. 1991); Morrison v.
Duckworth, 898 F.2d 1298, 1300-01 (7th Cir. 1990); Hooks v.
Wainwright, 775 F.2d 1433, 1438 (11th Cir. 1985).

A court must consider several factors in deciding whether the "interests of justice require" the appointment of counsel for a petitioner in a habeas corpus proceeding, including the complexity of the factual and legal issues in the case and the pro se petitioner's ability to investigate facts and present claims. Reese, 946 F.2d at 263 (citing Battle v. Armontrout, 902 F.2d 701, 702 (8th Cir. 1990)). For example, it has been held that there was no abuse of discretion in failing to appoint counsel when no evidentiary hearing was required and the issues in the case had been narrowed, see Terrovona v. Kincheloe, 912 F.2d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1990), or when the issues were "straightforward and capable of resolution on the record,"

^{1.} Any person seeking relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241 or 2254 may be provided counsel, "whenever the United States magistrate or the court determines that the interests of justice so require" and such person is "financially eligible." 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(1996).

the petitioner had "a good understanding of the issues and the ability to present forcefully and coherently his contentions."

LaMere v. Risley, 827 F.2d 622, 626 (9th Cir. 1987).

In this case, there appear to be no circumstances which warrant the appointment of counsel at this time. The court's liberal construction of <u>pro se</u> pleadings, <u>e.g.</u>, <u>Haines v.</u>

<u>Kerner</u>, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), coupled with petitioner's apparent ability to litigate this action <u>pro se</u>, militate against the appointment of counsel. Moreover, the legal issues are relatively uncomplicated, it appears that there will be no need for a hearing, and the court can not say, at least at this point, that petitioner will suffer prejudice if he is forced to prosecute this case on his own.

Therefore, petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel will be denied. In the event, however, that future proceedings demonstrate the need for counsel, the matter may be reconsidered either <u>sua sponte</u> or upon a motion properly filed by the petitioner.

AND NOW, this $27\frac{t}{}$ day of February, 2001, it is ordered that the Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (doc. 13) is denied.

WILLIAM W. CALDWELL

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

* * MAILING CERTIFICATE OF CLERK * *

February 27, 2001

Re: 1:00-cv-01714 Craveiro v. USA

True and correct copies of the attached were mailed by the clerk to the following:

Joseph M. Craveiro LSCI-ALLENWOOD Low Security Correctional Inst. 02630-070 P.O. Box 1000 White Deer, PA 17887

Kate L. Mershimer, Esq. U.S. Attorneys Office Room 217, Federal Bldg. 228 Walnut St. Harrisburg, Pa 17108

CC: grand				
Judge	(/	X		() Pro Se Law Clerk
Magistrate Judge	()		() INS
U.S. Marshal	()		() Jury Clerk
Probation	ì	Ń		
U.S. Attorney	7	í		
Atty. for Deft.	>	1		
	}	1		
Defendant	}	7		
Warden	Ç	7		
Bureau of Prisons	()		
Ct Reporter	()		
Ctroom Deputy	()		
Orig-Security	()		·
Federal Public Defender	ĺ.)		
Summons Issued	ì	j	with	N/C attached to complt. and served by:
	`	′		Marshal () Pltf's Attorney ()
Standard Order 93-5	1	}	0.5.	
Order to Show Cause	7	í	wi+h	Petition attached & mailed certified mail
Older to blow cause	1	,		
			to:	
D. J.	,	ν.		DA of County () Respondents ()
Bankruptcy Court	()		
Other	_ ()		
				MARY E. D'ANDREA, Clerk

Case 1:00-cv-01714-WWC-PT - Document 14 - Filed 02/27/2001 - Page 6-of 6

DATE: 2/27/0/

新して作品をいってはません はあれたもの Agg Agg Company Rose Deputy Clerk